

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,809	07/15/2003	Donna L. Livant	UM-08199	7664	
75	590 09/25/2006		EXAMINER		
MEDLEN & CARROLL, LLP			JONES, DAMERON LEVEST		
Suite 350 101 Howard Str	reet		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Francisco,	San Francisco, CA 94105			1618	
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/619,809	LIVANT, DONNA L.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		D. L. Jones	1618				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. TO (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	,						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner				
•—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•	• •				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
	application from the International Bureau		A III III National Otago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attach—							
Attachment	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) []	(DTO 442)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)							

Application/Control Number: 10/619,809 Page 2

Art Unit: 1618

## **ELECTION OF SPECIES**

1. Claims 1-24 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species comprising peptides derivable from an MMP prodomain peptide. In particular, the MMP peptides may be derivatives of MPP1, MMP 2, MMP 7, MMP 9, and other MMP peptides. A separate search of the art is necessary since the amino acid sequences are different from one another. As a result, the peptides are structurally different from one another and prior art which anticipates or renders obvious one MMP peptide would not necessarily render obvious another MMP peptide. Hence, the species are independent and distinct. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims

encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. L. Jones whose telephone number is (571) 272-0617. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:45 a.m. - 3:15 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,809

Art Unit: 1618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

D. L. Jones
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1618

Page 4

**September 15, 2006**